	Case 3:07-cv-02973-MHP	Document 11	Filed 09/04/2007	Page 1 of 7	
1 2 3 4 5	DAVID A. DEPOLO, ESQ. (State Bar No. 118205) AMY K. LEE, ESQ. (State Bar No. 244542) DONNELLY NELSON DEPOLO & MURRAY LLP Shadelands Point 2401 Shadelands Drive, Suite 120 Walnut Creek, CA 94598-2428 Tel. No. (925) 287-8181 Fax No. (925) 287-8188				
6 7	Attorneys for Plaintiff Marilyn Teakle				
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	MARILYN TEAKLE,		Case No. C07-0297	3 EDL	
12	Plaintiff,		PLAINTIFF MARILY	'N TEAKLE'S INITIAL	
13	VS.				
14 15	LAFAYETTE ORINDA PRESBYTERIAN [Fed. R. Civ. P. 26] CHURCH; PRESBYTERY OF SAN FRANCISCO; THE SYNOD OF THE PACIFIC; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,				
16	Defendants.				
17	Dejendants.				
18	TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:				
19	Plaintiff Marilyn Teakle submits the following statement of initial disclosures pursuant to				
20	Rule 26, subparagraphs (a)(1) and (f) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure:				
21	(1) Individuals	Likely to Have Dis	coverable Informati	on – These individuals are	
22	familiar with	the quality of Ms. Te	eakle's work		
23	(a) Sherre	Bernardo, 7075 He	arst Drive, El Dorado	Hills, CA, (925)899-8081,	
24	present at Ms. Teakle's exit interview.				
25	(b) Ruth Stenson, 921 Ulfinian Way, Martinez, CA 94553, (925)586-4293, has				
26	knowledge of Julie Ford's treatment of Marilyn Teakle.				
27	(c) Donna Perry, 1667 Bivar Court, Pleasanton, CA 94566, (925)398-8681				
28	(d) Jan Brov	wne, 1062 Via Media	a, Lafayette, CA 9454 1	9, (925)284-2786	
	C07-02973 EDL: PLAINTIFF MAR	ILY TEAKLE'S INITIAL DIS	SCLOSURES	762-8114/AKL/231389 d	

1

Marilvn

Teakle

1	. •	October 21, 1997 Bonus		
2	•	July 15, 1997 Retroactive Raise		
3	•	February 7, 1996 Correspondence from Rev. William B. Rolland to Marilyn		
4		Teakle		
5	•	January 24, 1995 Correspondence from Jim Schultz to Marilyn Teakle		
6	•	March 1992 Employee Performance Evaluation		
7	•	December 1990 Employee Performance Evaluation		
8	•	March 30, 1988 Memorandum from Lois Boyd to Wilma Senff		
9	•	1989 Support Staff Performance Evaluation		
10	•	1988 Secretarial Performance Evaluation		
11	•	1984 Support Staff Performance Evaluation		
12	•	January 14, 1988 Support Staff Annual Review		
13	•	December 9, 1992 Evaluation Summary		
14	•	December 1, 1992 Staff Evaluation		
15	•	November 28, 2980 Correspondence from Irving R. Seely to Marilyn Teakle		
16	•	December 5, 2006 Correspondence from Peter Whitelock to Marilyn Teakle		
17	•	November 27,2 006 Correspondence from Marilyn Teakle to Chuck Fry		
18	(3)	Computation of Damages		
19		(a) Base wage loss of approximately \$20,000 to date, and continuing until		
20		Ms. Teakle secures comparable replacement employment;		
21		(b) Front pay compensation of approximately \$150,000 in lieu of		
22		reinstatement as Ms Teakle's position has been filled.		
23		(c) Lost benefits of employment estimated at \$20,000.00 to date, and		
24		continuing until Ms. Teakle secures comparable replacement		
25		employment;		
26		(d) Emotional distress damages in an amount to be determined, which are		
27		ongoing;		
28				

(e) Costs and attorneys' fees, in an amount to be determined following trial,
 but which will probably exceed \$200,000.
 (4) Insurance

Plaintiff is unaware of any insurance agreement under which any person carrying on an insurance business may be liable to satisfy part or all of a judgment which may be entered in this action or to indemnify or reimburse for payments made to satisfy any judgment in this action.

Dated: 8/31/2007

DONNELLY NELSON DEPOLO & MURRAY

DAVID A. DEPOLO

Attorneys for Plaintiff Marilyn Teakle Page 6 of 7